

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/711,771	10/04/2004	James W. Adkisson	BUR920040110US1	5770
30449 75	590 12/01/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS		$\mathbf{S}_{i}$	GHYKA, ALEXANDER G	
22 CENTURY SUITE 302	HILL DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LATHAM, NY	/ 12110		2812	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary for Applications **Under Accelerated Examination**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/711,771	ADKISSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Alexander G. Ghyka	2812	

NO extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be permitted and a SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE:

ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER,

FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION – if this is a non-final action or a Quayle action. (Examiner: For **FINAL** actions, please use PTOL-326.)

months fr be exped	ctive of the accelerated examination program is to completom the filing date of the application. Any reply must be fitiously processed and considered. If the reply is not filed in may occur later than twelve months from the filing of the	led electronically via EFS d electronically via EFS-W	Web so that the papers will		
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 September 2006</u> .  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•	•		
4) □ 5) □ 6) □ 7) ⊠ Applicati 8) □ 9) □	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  3a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election resion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requested.	equirement.  a)  objected to by the Exberned in abeyance. See 3 ired if the drawing(s) is objected in the drawing(s) is objected.	7 CFR 1.85(a). sted to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	·	tote the attached office /	0.001 01 101111 1 10 1,02.		
11)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority up All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be application from the International Bureau (PCT Ruste the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be application from the International Bureau (PCT Ruste the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be application from the International Bureau (PCT Ruste the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents have be application from the International Bureau (PCT Ruste the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents have be application from the International Bureau (PCT Ruste the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents have be application from the International Bureau (PCT Ruste the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents have be applicated to the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents have be action for a list of the priority documents	en received. en received in Application nents have been received ule 17.2(a)).	No		
Attachmen	ot(s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	··		

<sup>--</sup> The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Since this application has been granted special status under the accelerated examination program,

Art Unit: 2812

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' response of 9/19/2006 has been considered and entered in the record. The election of species of the previous Office action is withdrawn in view of the Applicants' arguments. The following new election of species is made.

## Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Specie I, directed to implanting said polysilicon layer with N-dopant species; and Specie II, directed to implanting a first portion of said polysilicon layer with N-dopant species, and implanting a second and different portion of said polysilicon layer with P-dopant species. The species are independent or distinct because they are patentably distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Art Unit: 2812

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/711,771

Art Unit: 2812

Page 4

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander G. Ghyka whose telephone number is (571) 272-1669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during general business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AGG November 18, 2006 ALEXANDER GHYKA PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 2812